



RESEARCH ARTICLE - MATHEMATICS

On supra* q_1 – open sets , supra* q_1 – continuous functions

Ghufran Hussein Auda*¹, Hula M. Salih²

¹ General Education, Directorate of Wasit Province, Baghdad, Iraq

² Department of Mathematics, Collage of education, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq.

* Corresponding author E-mail: ghufran.hussein468@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

Article Info.	Abstract
<p><i>Article history:</i></p> <p>Received 15 April 2024</p> <p>Accepted 25 May 2024</p> <p>Publishing 30 March 2025</p>	<p>The concept of supra* q_1-open sets (Sup* q_1-o.Set) is presented and used to define the concepts of weakly supra* q_1-closed (weakly Sup* q_1-c.Set) , weakly supra* q_1-continuous(W Sup* q_1-Cont.), weakly supra* q_1-neighborhood(W Sup* q_1-neigh), and weakly supra* q_1-irresolute(W Sup* q_1-Irres). A few characterizations and features of these concepts are covered .</p>

This is an open-access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

The official journal published by the College of Education at Mustansiriyah University

Keywords: supra* q_1 -open, supra* q_1 -continuous, supra* q_1 -neighborhood, weakly supra* q_1 -open, weakly supra* q_1 -closed, weakly supra* q_1 -continuous, weakly supra* q_1 -neighborhood, weakly supra* q_1 -irresolute,

1. Introduction

Kuratowski [1] and Vaidyanathaswamy [2] have both researched the topic of ideals in topological spaces. Additionally, Jankovic and Hamlett [3] looked into the characteristics of ideal topological spaces. A.S.Mashhour et al. [4] introduced supra topological spaces in 1983. El-Sheikh [5] investigated the characteristics of supra topological space and developed the idea of supra closure operator, which is produced by a supra topological spaces. In 2012, S. SEKAR et al. [6] introduced the idea of supra ID-open sets and supra ID-continuous functions and looked into a number of their properties. A new supra topology from an old via ideal was introduced via Ali Kandil et al. in 2015 [7]. We have also talked about the characteristics of this supra topology. In this paper, we introduced the idea of weakly supra* q_1 -closed sets, weakly supra* q_1 -continuous sets, weakly supra* q_1 -neighborhood sets, and weakly supra* q_1 - irresolute sets. A few characterizations and properties of these concepts are discussed.

Definition 2.1:[1]

A collection that isn't empty is ideal. If the ID of a subset of \mathcal{H} meets both of the following two requirements, it is said to be an Ideal on \mathcal{H} .

- (1) When $0 \in ID$ and $P \subseteq O$, $P \in ID$ is implied.
- (2) When $0 \in ID$ and $P \in ID$, $O \cup P \in ID$ is implied.

Definition 2.2:[4]: A subset S for P(H) of non-empty set H be named a **supra topology on H** if S satisfy conditions :

- 1. S has \mathcal{H} and \emptyset in it.

2. S is closed under the arbitrary union

Supra topological space refers to the pair $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup})$. The member of Sup is known as the supra open set(Sup o.Set) in $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup})$ in this sense.

Definition 2.3:[4] Let Sup be the supra topology on \mathcal{H} and let (\mathcal{H}, τ) be a topological space. If $\tau \subseteq \text{Sup}$, we referred to Sup as a supra topology related to τ .

Definition 2.4:[8] Assume that Z is a subset of a supra topological space $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup})$. The supra kernel of Z is the set $\{U \in \text{Sup} \mid Z \subset U\}$, and it is represented by $\text{Sup-ker}(Z)$.

Definition 2.5: [8] Let (H, S) be supra topology spaces and $K \subseteq H$. hence

1. $cl_{sup}(K) = \cap \{F \subseteq H : F \text{ is supra closed set and } K \subseteq F\}$ is named the **supra-closure** of $K \in P(H)$.

2. $int_{sup} = \cup \{C \subseteq X : C \text{ is supra open set and } C \subseteq K\}$ is named the **supra-interior** of $K \in P(H)$

Definition 2.6:[9] The $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ is called **ideal supra topological space**(denoted by, **ID SUP TS**) if (X, Sup) supra topological space and ID is ideal on X

Definition 2.7: [9] Assume that $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ be ideal supra topological space, Z is subset of $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$, then Z is called to be **Supra Semi ID^* -open set**(denoted by, **Sup S_{ID}^* o.Set**) if and only if $Z \subset Cl_{sup}(Int_{sup}^*(Z))$ and a subset Z is called supra semi ID^* -close if its complement is supra semi ID^* -opened.

Definition 2.8:[10] A function $f: (\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \text{Sup}_2)$ is called **supra Semi ID^* -continuous**(denoted by, **Sup S_{ID}^* -cont.**) if and only if the opposite image of any supra open set(Sup o.Set) in \mathcal{H}_2 is supra semi ID -opened set($\text{Sup S_{ID} o.Set}$) in \mathcal{H}_1 .

Definition 2.9:[11] A set Z is supra P_1 -open ($\text{Sup } P_1\text{-o.Set}$) if $Z \subset Int_{sup}^*(Cl_{sup}(Z))$. The complement of supra P_1^* -open is called supra P_1^* -close ($\text{Sup } P_1^*\text{-c.Set}$).

Definition 2.10:[11] Let $(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau, \text{ID})$ be ideal topological space(ID TS) and (\mathcal{H}_2, τ') be topological space(TS), Sup be an correlating supratopology with τ . **supra P_1^* -continuous function**(denoted by, **Sup P_1^* -cont.**) is the function $f: (\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \tau')$. if the opposite image of any open set(o.Set) in \mathcal{H}_2 is a supra P_1 -open set($\text{Sup } P_1\text{-o.Set}$) in \mathcal{H}_1 .

Definition 2.11: [12], [13] Let $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ be an ideal supra topological space(ID Sup TS) and $Z \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. if $Z \subseteq Cl_{sup}(Int_{sup}(Cl_{sup}^*(Z)))$. then Z is called is **supra β_1 -open set**(denoted by, **Sup $\beta_1\text{-o.Set}$**). The complemented of supra β_1 -open set is said supra β_1 -close (denoted by, **Sup $\beta_1\text{-c.Set}$**).

Definition 2.12: [12], [14] Let $(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau, \text{ID})$ be ideal topological space(ID Ts) and (\mathcal{H}_2, τ') be topological space, Sup be an correlating supra topology with τ . $f: (\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \tau')$ is called **supra β_1 -continuous function**(denoted by, **Sup $\beta_1\text{-cont. F}$**) if and only if the opposite image of any open set(o.Set) in \mathcal{H}_2 is supra β_1 -open set($\text{Sup } \beta_1\text{-o.Set}$) in \mathcal{H}_1 .

3. supra* q_1 -open set

Definition 3.1: A set Z is supra* q_1 -open set(denoted by, **Sup* $q_1\text{-o.Set}$**) if $Z \subseteq Cl_{sup}(Int_{sup}^*(Z)) \cup Int_{sup}(Cl_{sup}^*(Z))$. The complement of supra* q_1 -open set is called supra* q_1 -close. The class of supra* q_1 -open set in X be indicated by $\text{Sup}^* q_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$.

Example 3.2: Let $\mathcal{H} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$ with a supra $\text{Sup} = \{\mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{c_2\}, \{c_1, c_3\}, \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}\}$, $\text{ID} = \{\emptyset, \{c_2\}\}$. Then the set $Z = \{c_2, c_3\}$ is supra* q_1 -open.

Proposition 3.3: Let Z is a supra* ϱ_I -open such that $\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)=\emptyset$, then Z is supra P_I^* -open. The following is true for a subset of Ideal supra topological space:

1. Any supra S_I^* -open is also supra* ϱ_I - open.
2. Any supra P_I^* -open is also supra* ϱ_I -open,
3. Any supra* ϱ_I -open is also supra β_I -open.

Proof:

(1)and(2)Obvious.

(3)Assume Z be supra* ϱ_I -opened. Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)). \\ &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))). \\ &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))). \\ &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}[\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cup \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(Z)]. \\ &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}[\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}[\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z \cup Z)]] \\ &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))). \end{aligned}$$

This show that Z is supra β_I -open set .

Example 3.4: Let $\mathcal{H}=\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$ with a supra $\text{Sup}=\{\mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{c_2\}, \{c_1, c_3\}, \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}\}$, $\text{ID}=\{\emptyset, \{c_2\}\}$. Then the set $Z =\{c_2, c_3\}$ is supra* ϱ_I -open, but is not supra S_I^* -open. As $\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))=\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\emptyset) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\mathcal{H}) =\emptyset \cup \mathcal{H} =\mathcal{H} \supseteq Z$ and hence Z is supra* ϱ_I -opened . Since $\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) = \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\emptyset)=\emptyset \not\supseteq Z$. Then Z is not supra S_I^* -open.

Example 3.5: Let $\mathcal{H} =\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ with a supra $\text{Sup}=\{\mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{u_1\}, \{u_3\}, \{u_1, u_3\}\}$, $\text{ID}=\{\emptyset, \{u_2\}\}$. Then the set $Z=\{u_1, u_2\}$ is supra* ϱ_I -opened , but is not supra P_I^* -opened Because $\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))= \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\{u_3\}) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\{u_1, u_2\})=\{u_2, u_3\} \cup \{u_1\}=\mathcal{H} \supseteq Z$ and hence Z is supra* ϱ_I -opened . Since $\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(Z)) = \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\{u_1, u_2\})=\{u_3\} \not\supseteq Z$. Then Z is not supra P_I^* -opened.

Example 3.6: Let $\mathcal{H} =\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ with a supra $\text{Sup}=\{\mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{u_3\}, \{u_1, u_4\}, \{u_1, u_3, u_4\}\}$, $\text{ID}=\{\emptyset, \{u_2\}\}$. Then the set $Z= \{u_1, u_2\}$ is supra β_I -open, but is not supra* ϱ_I -open. Because $\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))= \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\emptyset) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\{u_1, u_2, u_4\})= \emptyset \cup \{u_1, u_4\} = \{u_1, u_4\} \not\supseteq Z$ and hence Z is not supra* ϱ_I -open . Since $\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))) = \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\{u_1, u_2, u_3\})) = \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\{u_1, u_4\})=\{u_1, u_2, u_3\} \supseteq Z$. Hence Z is supra β_I -open.

Theorem3.7 : A subset Z of an Ideal supra topological space $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ is supra* ϱ_I -close , then $\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cap \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \subseteq Z$.

Proof: $\mathcal{H} - Z$ is supra* ϱ_I -open ,because Z is supra* ϱ_I -closed, This can be shown from the fact that τ^* is finer than τ and the fact that we have

$$\mathcal{H} - Z \subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\mathcal{H} - Z)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\mathcal{H} - Z)) \\
 &= [\mathcal{H} - [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\mathcal{H} - Z))]] \cup [\mathcal{H} - [\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\mathcal{H} - Z))]] \\
 &\subseteq [\mathcal{H} - [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))]] \cup [\mathcal{H} - [\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))]] \\
 &= \mathcal{H} - [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))] \cup [\mathcal{H} - [\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))]] .
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cap \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \subseteq Z$.

Corollary 3.8: Let Z represent a subset of the ideal supra topological space $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ such that $\mathcal{H} - [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))] = \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))$ and $\mathcal{H} - [\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))] = \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))$. Then Z is supra* ϱ_1 -closed if and only if $[\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cap \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))] \subseteq Z$.

Proof: This is the direct result of Theorem (3.6).

$$[\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cap \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))] \subseteq Z.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H} - Z &\subseteq \mathcal{H} - [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cap \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))] \\
 &\subseteq [\mathcal{H} - [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))]] \cup [\mathcal{H} - [\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))]] \\
 &= \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{H} - Z$ is supra* ϱ_1 -open and hence Z is supra* ϱ_1 -closed.

Proposition 3.9: The union of any family of supra* ϱ_1 -open sets is a supra* ϱ_1 -open set.

Proof: Let $\{Z_\alpha / \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of supra* ϱ_1 -open set,

$$Z_\alpha \subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z_\alpha)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z_\alpha))$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \cup_\alpha Z_\alpha &\subseteq \cup_\alpha [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z_\alpha)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z_\alpha))] \\
 &\subseteq \cup_\alpha [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z_\alpha))] \cup \cup_\alpha [\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z_\alpha))] \\
 &\subseteq [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\cup_\alpha (\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z_\alpha)))] \cup [\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\cup_\alpha (\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z_\alpha)))] \\
 &\subseteq [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\cup_\alpha (\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z_\alpha)))] \cup [\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\cup_\alpha (\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z_\alpha)))] \\
 &\subseteq [\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\cup_\alpha Z_\alpha))] \cup [\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\cup_\alpha Z_\alpha))].
 \end{aligned}$$

$\cup_\alpha Z_\alpha$ is supra* ϱ_1 -open .

Remark 3.10: As the following example demonstrates, the intersection of even two supra* ϱ_1 -open sets need not be supra* ϱ_1 -open set.

Example 3.11: $\mathcal{H} = \{ \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3, \iota_4 \}$ with a supra $\text{Sup} = \{ \mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{ \iota_3 \}, \{ \iota_3, \iota_2, \iota_4 \} \}$ and $\text{ID} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \iota_1 \}, \{ \iota_4 \}, \{ \iota_1, \iota_4 \} \}$. Then the set $Z = \{ \iota_1, \iota_3 \}$ and $B = \{ \iota_1, \iota_2 \}$ are supra* b_1 -open , but $Z \cap B = \{ \iota_1 \}$ is not supra* b_1 -open

Definition 3.12: Let Z be a subset of \mathcal{H} .

1-supra* ϱ_1 -closure of Z is defined as the intersection of all supra* ϱ_1 -close containing Z and is denoted via $\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^* \varrho_1 (Z)$.

2-The supra* ϱ_1 -interior of Z is defined by the union of all supra* ϱ_1 -open sets contained in Z and denoted via $\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^* \varrho_1 (Z)$

Remark 3.13:

1. Let Z represent a subset of the ideal supra topological space $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$.after that Z is supra* ϱ_1 -closed if and only if $\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}^* \varrho_1}(Z) = Z$,
2. Let Q represent a subset of the ideal supra topological space $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$. Then Q is supra* ϱ_1 -open if and only if $\text{Int}_{\text{sup}^* \varrho_1}(Q) = Q$.

4-supra* ϱ_1 -continuous function

Definition 4.1 :A function $f: (\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \text{Sup}_2)$ is named supra* ϱ_1 -continuous if $f^{-1}(Z)$ is supra* ϱ_1 -open in \mathcal{H}_1 . for every supra open set Z of \mathcal{H}_2 .

Example 4.2: Let $H_1 = H_2 = \{ \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \}$, with two supra $S_2 = \{ H_1, \varphi, \{ \iota_2 \} \}$ and

$S_2 = \{ H_2, \varphi, \{ \iota_1, \iota_2 \} \}$, and $\text{ID} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \iota_3 \} \}$ be an ideal on X . Define a function $f: (X, S_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (X, S_2)$

. $f(\iota_1) = \iota_2$, $f(\iota_2) = \iota_1$ and $f(\iota_3) = \iota_3$. It is clear that f is supra* ϱ_1 -continuous

Definition 4.3: Let Z be a subset of a space $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ and let $h \in \mathcal{H}$. If there exist supra* ϱ_1 -open set Q containing h such that $Q \subseteq Z$. After that Z is called supra* ϱ_1 -neighborhood of h .

Theorem 4.4: for a function $L: (\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \text{Sup}_2)$, the statements that follow are equivalent

1. L is supra* ϱ_1 -continuous,
- 2., there exist supra* ϱ_1 -open set Q containing h such that $L(Q) \subseteq Z$, For every $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and every supra open set Z in \mathcal{H}_2 with $L(h) \in Z$
3. For each $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and each supra open set Z in \mathcal{H}_2 with $L(h) \in Z$, $L^{-1}(Z)$ is supra* ϱ_1 -neighborhood of h ,
4. $(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}^* \varrho_1}^*(Z)) \subseteq \text{Sup-Ker}(L(Z))$, For every subset Z of \mathcal{H}_1 ,
5. For every subset E of \mathcal{H}_2 , $\text{Int}_{\text{sup}^* \varrho_1}^*(L^{-1}(E)) \subseteq (\text{Sup-Ker}(E))$.

Proof:

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Assume $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and Lets Z be a supra open set in \mathcal{H}_2 s.t $L(h) \in Z$. Because L is supra* ϱ_1 -continuous, $L^{-1}(Z)$ is supra* ϱ_1 -open. By butting $Q = L^{-1}(Z)$ which is containing h , we have $L(Q) \subseteq Z$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let Z be a supra open set in \mathcal{H}_2 such that $L(h) \in Z$. Then by (2) there exists supra* ϱ_1 -open set Q containing h such that $L(Q) \subseteq Z$. So $h \in Q \subseteq L^{-1}(Z)$. Hence $L^{-1}(Z)$ is supra* ϱ_1 -neighborhood of h .

(3) \Rightarrow (1) : Let Z be a supra open set in \mathcal{H}_2 such that $L(h) \in Z$. Then by (3), $L^{-1}(Z)$ is supra* ϱ_1 -neighborhood of h . Thus for each $h \in L^{-1}(Z)$. There exists a supra* ϱ_1 -open set Q_h containing h such that $h \in Q_h \subseteq L^{-1}(Z)$. Hence $L^{-1}(Z) \subseteq \bigcup_{h \in L^{-1}(Z)} Q_h$ and so $L^{-1}(Z) \in \text{Sup}^* \varrho_1 \mathcal{O}(X)$.

(1) \Rightarrow (4): Let Z be any subset of \mathcal{H}_1 . Suppose that $k \notin \text{Sup-Ker}(Z)$. Then by lemma 2.5, there exists a closed subset N of \mathcal{H}_2 such that $k \in N$ and $L(Z) \cap N = \emptyset$. Thus we have

$Z \cap L^{-1}(N) = \emptyset$ and $(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}^* \varrho_1}^*(Z)) \cap L^{-1}(N) = \emptyset$. Therefore, we obtain $L(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}^* \varrho_1}^*(Z)) \cap N = \emptyset$ and $k \notin N(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}^* \varrho_1}^*(Z))$. This implies that $L(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}^* \varrho_1}^*(Z)) \subseteq \text{Sup-Ker}(L(Z))$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5): Let E be any subset of \mathcal{H}_2 . By (4) and lemma 2.5,

we have $(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}^* \varrho_1}^*(L^{-1}(E))) \subseteq \text{Sup-Ker}(L(L^{-1}(E))) \subseteq \text{Sup-Ker}(E)$

and $\text{Int}_{\text{sup}^* \varrho_1}^*(L^{-1}(E)) \subseteq L^{-1}(\text{Sup-ker}(E))$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) : Let Z be any supra subset of \mathcal{H}_2 . By (5) and lemma 2.5, we have $\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\ast\varrho_1)(\mathbb{L}^{-1}(Z)) \subseteq \mathbb{L}^{-1}(\text{Sup-Ker}(Z)) = \mathbb{L}^{-1}(Z)$, $\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\ast\varrho_1)(\mathbb{L}^{-1}(Z)) = \mathbb{L}^{-1}(Z)$. This shows that $\mathbb{L}^{-1}(Z)$ is supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open.

Definition 4.5: Let \mathfrak{D} is a subset of the ideal supra topological space $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ is said to be weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open (denoted by $\ast\varrho_1\text{-WOpen}$), if $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\mathfrak{D}))) \cup \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathfrak{D})))$.

The class of weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open set in \mathcal{H} be indicated by $\text{WSup}^*\varrho_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$.

Proposition 4.6: For a subset of an ideal supra topological space, every supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open set is weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open.

Proof: Let Z be a supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open set. Then $Z \subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)) \subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(Z))) \cup \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z)))$. This shows that Z is a weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open set .

As the following example demonstrates, the inverse of the aforementioned theorem need not be true.

Example 4.7 : $\mathcal{H} = \{ \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3, \iota_4 \}$ with supra $\text{Sup} = \{ \mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{ \iota_3 \}, \{ \iota_1, \iota_4 \}, \{ \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \} \}$ and $\text{ID} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \iota_3 \} \}$. Then the set $Z = \{ \iota_1, \iota_2 \}$ is weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open ,but it is not supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open.

Theorem 4.8 : Let $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ be an ideal supra topological space .If $U_\alpha \in \text{WSup}^*\varrho_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H})$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $\bigcup \{ U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta \} \in \text{WSup}^*\varrho_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$.

Proof: Since $U_\alpha \in \text{WSup}^*\varrho_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha &\subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(U_\alpha))) \cup \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(U_\alpha))) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha))) \cup \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in \text{WSup}^*\varrho_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$.

The finite intersection of weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open sets does not necessarily have to be weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open sets, as demonstrated by the example that follows.

Example 4.9 : $\mathcal{H} = \{ \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3, \iota_4 \}$ with supra $\text{Sup} = \{ \mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{ \iota_3 \}, \{ \iota_3, \iota_2, \iota_4 \} \}$ and $\text{ID} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \iota_1 \}, \{ \iota_4 \}, \{ \iota_1, \iota_4 \} \}$. Then the set $Z = \{ \iota_1, \iota_3 \}$ and $B = \{ \iota_1, \iota_2 \}$ are weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open, but $Z \cap B = \{ \iota_1 \}$ is not weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open

Definition 4.10: A subset Z

of an ideal supra topological space $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ is said to be weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -closed if its complement is weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open.

Theorem 4.11: If a subset Z of an ideal topological space $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ is said to be weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -closed , then $\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))) \subseteq Z$.

Proof: Since Z is weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -closed, $\mathcal{H} - Z$ is weakly supra $\ast\varrho_1$ -open. This mean, $\mathcal{H} - Z \in \text{WSup}^*\varrho_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H})$ by the fact $\text{Sup}^* \subseteq \text{Sup}_I^*$, and the fact $\text{Sup}^* \subseteq \text{Sup}$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} - Z &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\mathcal{H} - Z))) \cup \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))) \\ &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\mathcal{H} - Z))) \cup \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))) \\ &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}} \left[\text{Int}_{\text{sup}} \left(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\mathcal{H} - Z) \right) \cup \text{Int}_{\text{sup}} \left(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z) \right) \right] \\ &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}[\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}[\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\mathcal{H} - Z) \cup \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z)]] \\ &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}[\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}[(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\mathcal{H} - Z)) \cup \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z)]] \\ &\subseteq \text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}[\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}^*(\mathcal{H} - Z))] \\ &= \mathcal{H} - \text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the result $\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Cl}_{\text{sup}}(\text{Int}_{\text{sup}}^*(Z))) \subseteq Z$.

Definition 4.12: A function $\mathcal{F}:(\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \text{Sup}_2)$ is said to be weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -continuous (denoted by $\mathcal{W} \text{Sup}^* \mathcal{Q}_I\text{-Cont}$) if for each supra open set Z of $(\mathcal{H}_2, \text{Sup}_2)$, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(Z)$ is weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -open in $(\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}_1, \text{ID})$.

Remark 4.13: Every supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -continuous is weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -continuous. The following example show that weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -continuous function do not need to be supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -continuous.

Example 4.14: Let $\mathcal{H} = \{ \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4 \}$ with a supra $\text{Sup}_1 = \{ \mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{ \tau_3 \}, \{ \tau_3, \tau_4 \}, \{ \tau_1, \tau_3, \tau_4 \} \}$, $\text{Sup}_2 = \{ \mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{ \tau_3, \tau_2 \} \}$ and $\text{ID} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \tau_3 \} \}$. Then the identity function $f:(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}_2)$ is weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -continuous but it is not supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -continuous.

Proposition 4.15: For a function $f:(\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \text{Sup}_2)$, the following statements are equivalent :

- (1) f is weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -continuous,
- (2) For any $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $V \in \text{Sup}_2$ with $f(h) \in V$, there exist $Q \in \text{WSup}^* \mathcal{Q}_I \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ with $h \in Q$ such that $f(Q) \subseteq V$,
- (3) The inverse image of every supra closed set in \mathcal{H}_2 is weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -close in \mathcal{H}_1 .

Proof: Straightforward

Definition 4.16: Let E is a subset of a space $(\mathcal{H}, \text{Sup}, \text{ID})$ and let $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Then E is called a weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -neighborhood (denoted by $\mathcal{W} \text{Sup}^* \mathcal{Q}_I\text{-neigh}$) of h if there exists a weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -open set Q containing h such that $Q \subseteq E$.

Example 4.17 : $\mathcal{H} = \{ \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4 \}$ with supra $\text{Sup} = \{ \mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{ \tau_3 \}, \{ \tau_3, \tau_2, \tau_4 \} \}$ and $\text{ID} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \tau_1 \}, \{ \tau_4 \}, \{ \tau_1, \tau_4 \} \}$. Then the set $E = \{ \tau_1, \tau_3, \tau_4 \}$ is weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -neighborhood, Since $Q = \{ \tau_1, \tau_3 \}$ is supra*-open set s.t $Q \subseteq E$.

Example 4.18 : $\mathcal{H} = \{ \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4 \}$ with supra $\text{Sup} = \{ \mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{ \tau_3 \}, \{ \tau_3, \tau_2, \tau_4 \} \}$ and $\text{ID} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \tau_1 \}, \{ \tau_4 \}, \{ \tau_1, \tau_4 \} \}$. $E = \{ \tau_1 \}$ is not weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -neighborhood Since there is not exist supra*-open set subset of E .

Theorem 4.19: For a function $f:(\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \text{Sup}_2)$, the following statements are equivalent :

- (1) f is weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -continuous.
- (2) For each $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and each supra open set V in \mathcal{H}_2 with $f(h) \in V$, $f^{-1}(V)$ is weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -neighborhood of h .

Proof:

(1) \Rightarrow (2) : Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and let V be a supra open set in \mathcal{H}_2 such that $f(h) \in V$. By Propostion 4.14, there exists a weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -open Q in \mathcal{H}_1 with $h \in Q$ such that $f(Q) \subseteq V$. So $h \in Q \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Hence $f^{-1}(V)$ is a weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -neighborhood of h .

(2) \Rightarrow (1) : Let V be a supra open in \mathcal{H}_2 and let $f(h) \in V$. Then by assumption $f^{-1}(V)$ is a weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -neighborhood of h . Thus for each $h \in f^{-1}(V)$

there exists a weakly supra* \mathcal{Q}_I -open set Q_h containing h such that $h \in Q_h \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Hence $f^{-1}(V) = \cup \{ Q_h : h \in f^{-1}(V) \}$ and so $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{WSup}^* \mathcal{Q}_I \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}_1, \text{ID})$.

Definition 4.20: A function $f:(\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_2, \text{Sup}_2)$ is said to be weakly supra* Q_1 -irresolute (denoted by $\text{WSup}^*Q_1\text{Irres}$) if $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{WSup}^*Q_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ for every $V \in \text{WSup}^*Q_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

Example 4.2: Let $H_1 = H_2 = \{ \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \}$, with two supra $S_2 = \{ H_1, \varphi \}$ and

$S_2 = \{ H_2, \varphi, \{ \iota_1, \iota_2 \} \}$, and $\text{ID} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \iota_1 \}, \{ \iota_4 \}, \{ \iota_1, \iota_4 \} \}$ be an ideal on H_1 and H_2 . Define a function $f:(X, S_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (X, S_2, \text{ID})$. $f(\iota_1) = \iota_2$, $f(\iota_2) = \iota_1$ and $f(\iota_3) = \iota_3$. It is clear that f is weakly supra* Q_1 -irresolute.

Example 4.21: Let $H_1 = H_2 = \{ \iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \}$, with two supra $S_1 = \{ \mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{ \iota_3 \}, \{ \iota_3, \iota_2, \iota_4 \} \}$ and

$S_2 = \{ \mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \{ \iota_1, \iota_2 \} \}$, and $\text{ID} = \{ \emptyset, \{ \iota_3 \} \}$ be an ideal on H_1 and H_2 . Define a function $f:(X, S_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (X, S_2, \text{ID})$. $f(\iota_1) = \iota_1$, $f(\iota_2) = \iota_1$ and $f(\iota_3) = \iota_3$. It is clear that f is not weakly supra* Q_1 -irresolute.

Theorem 4.22: Let $f:(\mathcal{H}_1, \text{Sup}_1, \text{ID}) \rightarrow (Y, \text{Sup}_2, \text{ID}')$ and $g:(\mathcal{H}_2, \text{Sup}_2, \text{ID}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}_3, \text{ID}'')$ be two function Then:

(1) $g \circ f$ is weakly supra* Q_1 -continuous if f is weakly supra* Q_1 -irresolute and g is weakly supra* Q_1 -continuous.

(2) $g \circ f$ is weakly supra* Q_1 -continuous if f is weakly supra* Q_1 -continuous and g is continuous.

Proof:

(1) Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and W be any supra open set of Z containing $(g \circ f)(h)$. Since g is weakly supra* Q_1 -continuous, there exists $V \in \text{WSup}^*Q_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ such that $f(h) \in V$ and $g(V) \subseteq W$. Again, since f is weakly supra* Q_1 -irresolute, there exists $Q \in \text{WSup}^*Q_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H}_1, h)$ such that $f(Q) \subseteq V$. This shows that $(g \circ f)(Q) \subseteq W$. Hence $g \circ f$ is weakly supra* Q_1 -continuous.

(2) Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and W be any supra open set of Z containing $(g \circ f)(h)$. Since g is continuous, $V = g^{-1}(W)$ is open in \mathcal{H}_2 . Also, since f is weakly supra* Q_1 -continuous, there exists $Q \in \text{WSup}^*Q_1\text{O}(\mathcal{H}_1, \tau)$ such that $h \in Q$ and $f(Q) \subseteq V$. Therefore $(g \circ f)(Q) \subseteq W$. Hence $g \circ f$ is weakly supra* Q_1 -continuous.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have presented supra Q_1 -open set with respect to an ideal (briefly supra Q_1 -closed set) in supratopological spaces. We characterized variants of continuity namely supra Q_1 -continuous, weakly supra Q_1 -continuous, weakly supra Q_1 -irresolute.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank the editor and the referee.

Reference

- [1] K. Kuratowski, “Topology vol 1 (PWN, Warsaw Academic Press, New York),” Russian transl: Mir, Moscow, 1966.
- [2] J. R. A. Y. PORTER, A study of minimal Hausdorff spaces. New Mexico State University, 1966.
- [3] D. Janković and T. R. Hamlett, “New topologies from old via ideals,” *The american mathematical monthly*, vol. 97, no. 4, pp. 295–310, 1990.
- [4] A. S. Mashhour, T. H. Khedr, and S. Abd El-Bakkey, “On supra-R₀ and supra-R₁ spaces,” *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math*, vol. 16, pp. 1300–1306, 1985.
- [5] S. A. El-Sheikh, *Dimension Theory of Bitopological Spaces*. Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, 1987.
- [6] S. Sekar and P. Jayakumar, “On Supra I–Open Sets and Supra I–Continuous Functions,” *International Journal Scientific and Engineering Research*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 1–3, 2012.
- [7] A. Kandil, O. A. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh, and S. A. Hazza, “New supra topologies from old via ideals,” *Journal of New Theory*, no. 4, pp. 1–5, 2015.
- [8] B. A. Asaad, T. M. Al-Shami, and E.-S. A. Abo-Tabl, “Applications of some operators on supra topological spaces,” *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 292–308, 2020.
- [9] S. Modak and S. Mistry, “Ideal on supra topological space,” *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2012.
- [10] T. M. Al-shami, “On some maps in supra topological ordered spaces,” *Journal of New Theory*, no. 20, pp. 76–92, 2018.
- [11] T. H. Jasim, “On ideal supra topological space,” *Tikrit Journal of Pure Science*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 152–160, 2015.
- [12] G. H. Auda and H. M. Salih, “Some results on supraPI-open sets and supraPI-continuous functions in ideal supratopological spaces,” in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, AIP Publishing, 2023.
- [13] Y. Y. Yousif and M. A. H. Ghafel, “Fibrewise soft ideal topological space,” in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, IOP Publishing, 2018, p. 012050.
- [14] N. Z. K. Raheem and S. H. Jasem, “nc-Opnc-Sets in Topological Space,” *MJPAS JOURNAL*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 115–123, 2024.