



RESEARCH ARTICLE - MATHEMATICS

A novel structure for measurable functions sequence convergence based on approach measure space

Ali A. Hammood ^{1*}, Arkan J. Mohammed ¹, Boushra Y. Hussein ²

¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Mustansiriyah, Baghdad, Iraq

² Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq

* Corresponding author E-mail: al83_math@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

Article Info.	Abstract
<i>Article history:</i> Received 1 April 2025 Accepted 16 June 2025 Publishing 30 September 2026	In measure theory, there are several types of convergence of sequences like convergence in measure, almost everywhere and almost uniformly we presented definition of approach measure and approach measurable functions also we defined new concepts which convergence of sequences of approach measurable functions almost everywhere with respect approach measure and convergence of sequences of approach measurable functions in approach measure, we studied the relationship between them, We also raised the topic of "almost bounded" and what its relationship to "bounded"? We will discuss the relation between uniform convergence and convergence of sequences of approach measurable functions in approach measure, the relation between convergence of sequences of approach measurable functions almost everywhere with respect approach measure and convergence of sequences of approach measurable functions in approach measure.
This is an open-access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The official journal published by the College of Education at Mustansiriyah University	
Keywords: Almost bounded with respect approach measure; Approach measure space; Approach space; Convergence in approach measure.	

1. Introduction

Measure theory is one of the fundamental pillars of modern mathematics and extends to many different branches and applications in the natural and engineering sciences. Measure theory is an important topic in mathematical analysis. In 1966, Taylor [1] gave introduction to measure and integration. In 1989, Lowen [2] introduced common super category approach spaces of topological. In 1990, Dalang and Willinger [3] made clear no-arbitrage in stochastic securities markets models and equivalent martingale measures. In 1994, Baekeland and Lowen [4] explained separability and Lindelof in approach spaces. In 1997, Lowen [5] found relation between approach spaces and topology-uniformity-metric. In 2007, Boushra Y. Hussein [6] presented equivalent measure under the process is martingale. In 2010, Boushra Y. Hussein, AL-Ta. and AL-Mayahi [7] presented equivalent martingale measures on L^p -space, and in 2011 Boushra Y. Hussein [8] found equivalent measure which the process is (super/sub) martingale, then in 2020, Boushra Y. Hussein [9] introduced equivalent measure in ordered Banach algebra with locally Martingale of the deflator process. In 2021 and 2022, Abbas and Hussein [10] presented results on normed approach space and Banach space. In 2022, they gave results about topological approach vector space [11]. In 2022, Hussein and Abd [12] studied normed approach space. In 2022, Hussein, Huda and Wshayeh [13] defined on state space of measurable studied symmetric approach t^w -Banach algebra with some results. In 2022, Neamah and Hussein [14] presented the results of completion of t^w normed approach space when it's not contained identity.

In this paper we defined convergence almost everywhere by use approach measure structure. Also, we define convergence by approach measure then we find relationship between them.

This work is consisting four sections: In section 1, we presented to the reader Introduction. In section 2, we presented the most important definitions and concepts, in section 3 we introduced to new definitions and examples for our research which approach measure and finite approach measure. In Section 4, we gave some results about the two new concepts of the convergence.

2. Preliminary

We introduced main definitions related to subject in measure theory.

Definition 2.1 [15]

Let $\aleph$ be a non-empty set and F be a collection of subsets of $\aleph$, F is called σ –field if:

- $\aleph \in F$.
- If $\beta \in F$, then $\beta^c \in F$.
- If $A_1, A_2, \dots, F$, we have $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in F$.

A measurable space is a pair $(\aleph, F)$.

Definition 2.2 [15]

If $(\aleph, F)$ measurable space. A set function $\mu: F \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called measure on $(\aleph, F)$, if:

- $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.
- $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu A_n)$, where $\{A_n\}$ sequence of disjoint sets in F .

A measure space is a triple $(\aleph, F, \mu)$.

Definition 2.3 [15]

Let $(\aleph, F, \mu)$ be a measure space. A property of points $\aleph$ is said to hold μ –almost everywhere if there exist $\mathbb{T} \in F$ such that $\mu(\mathbb{T}) = 0$ which contains every point that the property fails to hold.

Definition 2.4 [15]

Let $(\aleph, F, \mu)$ be measure space, the sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of real valued functions on $\aleph$ is convergent almost everywhere to real valued function Q on $\aleph$ if there is a set $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \aleph$ such that $Q_n(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$ for each $x \notin \mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{T} \in F$ with $\mu(\mathbb{T}) = 0$.

Definition 2.5 [16]

Let $(\aleph, d)$ be metric space, the sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of real valued functions on $\aleph$ is uniformly convergent to real valued function Q on $\aleph$ if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\check{K} > 0$ such that $|Q_n(x) - Q(x)| < \epsilon$ for each $x \in \aleph$, $n > \check{K}$ (write $Q_n \rightarrow^u Q$).

Definition 2.6 [16]

Let $(\aleph, d)$ be metric space, the sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of real valued functions on $\aleph$ is bounded if there exist $\mathbb{T} > 0$ such that $|Q_n(x)| \leq \mathbb{T}$ for each $x \in \aleph$.

Definition 2.7 [6]

If X a non-empty set, a function $\delta: X \times 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a distance on X if every $x \in X$, for all $A \in 2^X$, the following conditions are met:

- $x \in A \Rightarrow \delta(x, A) = 0$.
- For all $B \in 2^X: A \subset B \Rightarrow \delta(x, B) \leq \delta(x, A)$.
- For all $A_1, A_2 \in 2^X, \delta(x, A_1 \cup A_2) = \min_{A_i \in \psi} [\delta(x, A_1), \delta(x, A_2)]$
- For all $B \in 2^u: \delta(u, A) \leq \delta(u, B) + \sup_{s \in B} \delta(s, A)$.

$\mathfrak{R}_\delta(x) = \{\vartheta \in [0, \infty]^X \mid \inf_{a \in A} \vartheta(a) \leq \delta(a, A)\}$ is called an approach system, the pair $(X, \mathfrak{R}_\delta(x))$ is called approach space and denoted by app-spaces.

Theorem 2.1 [16]

Every convergent sequence is bounded.

3. Approach measure and finite approach measure
Definition 3.1

If $\aleph$ is a non-empty set and F σ –filed. A function $\mu_\delta: F \times 2^\aleph \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called approach measure on $\aleph$ (denoted by AM) if the following conditions are met:

- $\mu_\delta(\emptyset, \beta) = 0, \beta \in 2^\aleph$.
- $\mu_\delta(A, \beta) = \infty$ if $A = \beta^c, A \in F, \beta \in 2^\aleph, A \neq \emptyset$.
- $\mu_\delta(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_\delta(A_n, \beta)$ where $\{A_n\}$ is sequence of disjoint sets in F .

The triple $(\aleph, F, \mu_\delta)$ is said to be approach measure space and denoted by AMS.

Definition 3.2

Let $\aleph$ be a non-empty set and F be σ – filed, if μ_δ is AM on $\aleph$, we call μ_δ is finite approach measure (denote by FAM) if for each $A \neq \beta^c$ we have $\mu_\delta(A, \beta) \neq \infty, A \in F, \beta \in 2^\aleph$.

Example 3.1

Let $\aleph$ be a non-empty set and F be a σ –filed, a function $\mu_\delta: F \times 2^\aleph \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is defined by:

$$\mu_\delta(A, \beta) = \begin{cases} 0, & A = \emptyset \\ \infty, & A \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

Where $A \in F, \beta \in 2^\aleph$, this function μ_δ is not finite approach measure.

Sol:

Let $\aleph = \{a, b, c\}, F = 2^\aleph$ and μ_δ which is defined as above. If we choose $A = \{a\} \in F, \beta = \{a, c\} \in 2^\aleph$ it is clear $A \neq \beta^c$. By definition of the function (μ_δ) we have $\mu_\delta(A, \beta) = \infty$.

So, we get $A \neq \beta^c$ but $\mu_\delta(A, \beta) = \infty, A \in F, \beta \in 2^\aleph$. μ_δ is not finite approach measure.

Proposition 3.1

Let $(\aleph, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS, if $A \subseteq \beta$ then $\mu_\delta(A, C) \leq \mu_\delta(\beta, C)$, where $A, \beta \in F, C \in 2^\aleph$.

Proposition 3.2

Let $(\aleph, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS, if $B \subseteq A \in F$ then $\mu_\delta(A - B, C) = \mu_\delta(A, C) - \mu_\delta(B, C)$ where $A, B \in F, C \in 2^\aleph$.

Proposition 3.3

Let $(\aleph, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be increasing sequence of sets of F , then $\mu_\delta(\cup_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(A_k, \beta), \beta \in 2^\aleph$.

Proof

Let $\check{G}_1 = A_1, \check{G}_i = A_i - A_{i-1}, i > 1$. It is clear $\cap_{i=1}^k \check{G}_i = \emptyset$.

$$\cup_{i=1}^k \check{G}_i = A_1 \cup (A_2 - A_1) \cup (A_3 - A_2) \cup \dots \cup (A_{k-2} - A_{k-3}) \cup (A_{k-1} - A_{k-2})$$

$$\cup_{i=1}^k \check{G}_i = A_k \tag{1}$$

We conclude $\cup_{i=1}^k \check{G}_i = \cup_{i=1}^k A_k$.

Let $\beta \in 2^\aleph$:

$$\mu_\delta(\cup_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) = \mu_\delta(\cup_{i=1}^k \check{G}_i, \beta)$$

$$\mu_\delta(\cup_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_\delta(\check{G}_i, \beta)$$

$$\mu_\delta(\cup_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_\delta(\check{G}_i, \beta)$$

$$\mu_\delta(\cup_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(\cup_{i=1}^k \check{G}_i, \beta)$$

By (1) we can get:

$$\mu_\delta(\cup_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(A_k, \beta) \blacksquare$$

Proposition 3.4

Let $(\aleph, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be decreasing sequence of sets of F , if μ_δ is FAM then $\mu_\delta(\cap_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(A_k, \beta)$ where $A_k \neq \beta^c, \beta \in 2^\aleph$.

Proof

Let $C_k = A_1 - A_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is decreasing sequence, so that $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \dots$. Therefor $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset C_3 \subset \dots$, so that $\{C_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is increasing sequence.

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty C_k &= \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (A_1 - A_k) \\ \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty C_k &= A_1 - \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k \end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta \in 2^\aleph$, we will choose $A_k \neq \beta^c$.
 $\mu_\delta(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty C_k, \beta) = \mu_\delta(A_1 - \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta)$

By Proposition 3.3, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\delta(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty C_k, \beta) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(C_k, \beta) \\ \mu_\delta(A_1 - \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(C_k, \beta) \\ \mu_\delta(A_1 - \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(A_1 - A_k, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.3, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\delta(A_1, \beta) - \mu_\delta(\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(A_1, \beta) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(A_k, \beta) \\ \mu_\delta(A_1, \beta) - \mu_\delta(\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) &= \mu_\delta(A_1, \beta) - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(A_k, \beta) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Since μ_δ is FAM and $A_k \neq \beta^c$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\mu_\delta(A_1, \beta) \neq \infty$.
 So, we can cancel $\mu_\delta(A_1, \beta)$ from both sides on (1), we get:

$$\mu_\delta(\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\delta(A_k, \beta) \blacksquare$$

4. Convergence of sequences of approach measurable functions in approach measure

In this section we will present the main results.

Definition 4.1

Let $(\aleph_1, F_1, \mu_{\delta_1})$, $(\aleph_2, F_2, \mu_{\delta_2})$ be two AMS. A function $Q: (\aleph_1, F_1, \mu_{\delta_1}) \rightarrow (\aleph_2, F_2, \mu_{\delta_2})$ is called approach measurable function if satisfies the following conditions:

- $Q(A) \in F_2$ for each $A \in F_1$.
- $\mu_{\delta_1}(A, B) \leq \mu_{\delta_2}(Q(A), Q(B))$ for each $A \in F_1, B \in 2^\aleph$.
-

Definition 4.2

Let $(\aleph, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$, be real valued approach measurable functions, the sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent almost everywhere to Q with respect to approach measure if there is a set $D \in F$ such that $Q_n(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$ for each $x \notin D$ and $D \subseteq T \in F$ with $\mu_\delta(T, \beta) = 0$ for each $T \neq \beta^c, \beta \in 2^\aleph$.

Definition 4.3

Let $(\aleph, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$, be real valued approach measurable functions, the sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent to Q in approach measure if for each $\epsilon > 0$

$$\lim_n \mu_\delta(\{x \in \aleph, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\}, \beta) = 0,$$

for some $\beta \in 2^\aleph$.

We write $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM.

Definition 4.4

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$, be real valued approach measurable functions, the sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost bounded with respect to approach measure if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $T > 0$ such that $\mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x)| > T\}, \beta) < \epsilon$ for some $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$.

Definition 4.5

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$, be real valued approach measurable functions, the sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost uniformly convergent to Q in approach measure if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a set $A \in F$ such that $\mu_\delta(A, \beta) < \epsilon$ for some $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$ and $Q_n \rightarrow^u Q$ on A^c .

Theorem 4.1

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ be real valued approach measurable functions on $\mathfrak{X}$, if $Q_n \rightarrow^u Q$ then $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM.

Proof

Suppose $Q_n \rightarrow^u Q$, so that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\check{K} > 0$ such that $|Q_n(x) - Q(x)| < \epsilon$ for each $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, $n > \check{K}$, then there is not element in $\mathfrak{X}$ satisfies $|Q_n(x) - Q(x)| < \epsilon$, $n > \check{K}$, there for $\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\} = \emptyset$, $n > \check{K}$.

$\mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x)| > \epsilon\}, \beta) = 0$ for each $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$, $n > \check{K}$.

$\lim_n \mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\}, \beta) = 0$ for each $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$,

Therefor $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM ■.

Theorem 4.2

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ be real valued approach measurable functions on $\mathfrak{X}$, if $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded then $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost bounded with respect to approach measure.

Proof

Since $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded then there exist $T > 0$ such that $|Q_n(x)| \leq T$ for each $x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

So that $\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x)| > T\} = \emptyset$.

$\mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x)| > T\}, \beta) = 0$ for each $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$

$\mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x)| > T\}, \beta) < \epsilon$ for each $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$

Therefor $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost bounded with respect to approach measure ■.

Theorem 4.3

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ be real valued approach measurable functions on $\mathfrak{X}$, if $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost bounded with respect to approach measure and $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM then Q is almost bounded with respect to approach measure.

Proof

Suppose $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost bounded and $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM

Since $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost bounded then for each $\rho > 0$, there exist $T > 0$ such that

$\mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x)| > T\}, \beta) < \frac{\rho}{2}$ for some $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$

Since $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM then:

For each $\epsilon > 0$, $\lim_n \mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\}, \beta) = 0$ for some $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$.

If $\epsilon = 1$, $\mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q(x) - Q_n(x)| > 1\}, \beta) < \frac{\rho}{2}$ for some $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$.

Now we must proof $\mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q(x)| > T + 1\}, \beta) < \rho$ for some $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$.

$$\{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x)| > T + 1\} = \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x) - Q_n(x) + Q_n(x)| > T + 1\} \quad (3)$$

We claim $\{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x)| > T + 1\} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x) - Q_n(x)| > 1\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q_n(x)| > T\}$.
Let $z \in \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x)| > T + 1\}$, by (3) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(z) - Q_n(z) + Q_n(z)| &> T + 1 \\ |Q(z) - Q_n(z)| + |Q_n(z)| &\geq |Q(z) - Q_n(z) + Q_n(z)| > T + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

If $z \notin \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x) - Q_n(x)| > 1\}$
We must proof $z \in \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q_n(x)| > T\}$

$$|Q(z) - Q_n(z)| \leq 1$$

Then $1 + |Q_n(z)| > T + 1$ (by (4))

$$|Q_n(z)| > T$$

There for $z \in \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q_n(x)| > T\}$

Now If $z \notin \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q_n(x)| > T\}$

$$|Q_n(z)| \leq T$$

Then $|Q(x) - Q_n(x)| + T > T + 1$ (by (4))

$$|Q(x) - Q_n(x)| > 1$$

Therefor $z \in \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x) - Q_n(x)| > 1\}$

$$z \in \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x) - Q_n(x)| > 1\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q_n(x)| > T\}$$

so, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x)| > T + 1\} &\subset \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x) - Q_n(x)| > 1\} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q_n(x)| > T\} \\ \mu_\delta\{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x)| > T + 1, \beta\} &\leq \mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x) - Q_n(x)| > 1, \beta\} + \mu_\delta\{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q_n(x)| > T + 1, \beta\}) \\ &\text{for some } \beta \in 2^\mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_\delta\{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x)| > T + 1, \beta\} \leq \frac{\rho}{2} + \frac{\rho}{2}$$

$$\mu_\delta\{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q(x)| > T + 1, \beta\} \leq \rho \text{ for some } \beta \in 2^\mathbb{N}.$$

Then Q is almost bounded with respect to approach measure ■.

Theorem 4.4

Let $(\mathbb{N}, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ be real valued approach measurable functions on $\mathbb{N}$, if μ_δ is FAM and $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent almost everywhere to Q with respect to approach measure then $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM.

Proof

Suppose $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent almost everywhere to Q with respect to Approach Measure.

Now we must proof $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM.

Let $\epsilon > 0$

$$\text{Define } T_n = \{x \in \mathbb{N}, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\}$$

$$\text{Let } \acute{U}_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^\infty T_k$$

So that:

$$\acute{U}_n = T_n \cup T_{n+1} \cup T_{n+2} \cup \dots$$

$$\acute{U}_{n+1} = T_{n+1} \cup T_{n+2} \cup T_{n+3} \cup \dots$$

$$\acute{U}_{n+2} = T_{n+2} \cup T_{n+3} \cup T_{n+4} \cup \dots$$

Thus, we conclude $\dot{U}_n \supset \dot{U}_{n+1} \supset \dot{U}_{n+2} \supset \dots$

Then $\{\dot{U}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is decreasing sequence.

The set $\{x \in \aleph : Q_n(x) \text{ does not converges to } Q(x)\}$ which contains the set $\cap_n \dot{U}_n$

Since $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ convergent almost everywhere to Q with respect to Approach Measure.

So $\mu_{\delta}(\cap_n \dot{U}_n, \beta) = 0$ for each $\beta^c \neq \cap_{n=k}^{\infty} \dot{U}_n \in 2^{\aleph}$.

By Proposition 3.7 we get:

$\mu_{\delta}(\cap_n \dot{U}_n, \beta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\delta}(\dot{U}_n, \beta) = 0$ where $A_k \neq \beta^c, \beta \in 2^{\aleph}$

Since $T_n \subseteq \cup_{k=n}^{\infty} T_k$, then $T_n \subseteq \dot{U}_n$

We get $\lim_n \mu_{\delta}(T_n, \beta) \leq \lim_n \mu_{\delta}(\dot{U}_n, \beta)$ by Proposition 3.1.

So, we get $\lim_n \mu_{\delta}(\{x \in \aleph, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\}, \beta) = 0$ for some $\beta \in 2^{\aleph}$

Then we have proven $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM ■.

Theorem 4.5

Let $(\aleph, F, \mu_{\delta})$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ be real valued approach measurable functions on $\aleph$, if the sequence $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM, then there is a sub sequence of $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ that convergent to Q almost everywhere with respect to Approach Measure.

Proof

Suppose $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM

So that for each $\epsilon > 0$ we have:

$\lim_n \mu_{\delta}(\{x \in \aleph, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\}, \beta) = 0$ for some $\beta \in 2^{\aleph}$

$\mu_{\delta}(\{x \in \aleph, |Q_{n_1}(x) - Q(x)| > 1\}, \beta) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for some $\beta \in 2^{\aleph}$

$\mu_{\delta}(\{x \in \aleph : |Q_{n_2}(x) - Q(x)| > \frac{1}{2}\}, \beta) \leq \frac{1}{4}$ for some $\beta \in 2^{\aleph}$

$\mu_{\delta}(\{x \in \aleph : |Q_{n_3}(x) - Q(x)| > \frac{1}{3}\}, \beta) \leq \frac{1}{8}$ for some $\beta \in 2^{\aleph}$

Until we arrive:

$$\mu_{\delta}(\{x \in \aleph : |Q_{n_k}(x) - Q(x)| > \frac{1}{k}\}, \beta) \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \text{ for some } \beta \in 2^{\aleph}, k=1,2,\dots \quad (5)$$

Let $N_k = \{x \in \aleph : |Q_{n_k}(x) - Q(x)| > \frac{1}{k}\}$

Let $y \notin \cap_{j=1}^n \cup_{k=j}^{\infty} N_k$

Then $y \notin \cup_{k=j}^{\infty} N_k$

Therefor $|Q_{n_k}(y) - Q(y)| > \frac{1}{k}, k=j, j+1, j+2, \dots$

So $\{Q_{n_k}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to Q at each $y \notin \cap_{j=1}^n \cup_{k=j}^{\infty} N_k$

Now we must proof $\mu_{\delta}(\cap_{j=1}^n \cup_{k=j}^{\infty} N_k, \beta) = 0$ where $\cap_{j=1}^n \cup_{k=j}^{\infty} N_k \neq \beta^c$

Let $\beta \in 2^{\aleph}$

We will expect $\cap_{j=1}^n \cup_{k=j}^{\infty} N_k = \beta^c$

To avoid values that make $\mu_{\delta}(\cap_{j=1}^n \cup_{k=j}^{\infty} N_k, \beta) = \infty$

Since $\mu_{\delta}(\cup_{k=j}^{\infty} N_k, \beta) \leq \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \mu_{\delta}(N_k, \beta)$

$$\mu_{\delta}(\cup_{k=j}^{\infty} N_k, \beta) \leq \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}$$

$$\text{Since } \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{\frac{1}{2^j}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}$$

$$\text{Then } \mu_{\delta}(\cup_{k=j}^{\infty} N_k, \beta) \leq \frac{1}{2^{j-1}}$$

There for $\mu_\delta(\bigcup_{k=j}^\infty N_k, \beta) = 0$ when j converges to ∞

$\mu_\delta(\bigcap_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{k=j}^\infty N_k, \beta) = 0$ where $\bigcap_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{k=j}^\infty N_k \neq \beta^c$

So $\{Q_{n_k}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sub sequence of $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ that convergent to Q almost everywhere with respect to approach measure ■.

Theorem 4.6

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ be real valued approach measurable on $\mathfrak{X}$ if μ_δ is FAM and each subsequence of $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence that converges to Q almost everywhere with respect to approach measure then $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM.

Proof

Suppose each subsequence of $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence that converges to Q almost everywhere with respect to approach measure.

We must proof $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM.

Suppose Q_n is not convergence to Q in AM.

So there exist $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\lim_n \mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\}, \beta) = k > 0$ for each $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$.

We can choose sub sequence $\{Q_{n_k}\}_k$ such that:

$$\lim_n \mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_{n_k}(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\}, \beta) = k > 0 \text{ for each } \beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$$

Let $\{Q_{n_{k_j}}\}_j$ subsequence of $\{Q_{n_k}\}_k$.

Then $\{Q_{n_{k_j}}\}_j$ converges to Q almost everywhere with respect to approach measure (by hypothesis).

Therefor there exist $D \in F$ such that $Q_{n_{k_j}}(x) \rightarrow Q(x)$ for each $x \notin D$.

And $\mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_{n_{k_j}}(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\}, \beta) = 0$ for each $\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_{n_{k_j}}(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\} \neq \beta^c \dots$

But by $\lim_n \mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_{n_k}(x) - Q(x)| > \epsilon\}, \beta) = k > 0$ for each $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$.

This is a contradiction. Then $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM.

Theorem 4.7

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ be real valued approach measurable functions on $\mathfrak{X}$, if the sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost uniformly convergent to Q in approach measure, then $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM.

Proof

Suppose $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost uniformly convergent to Q in approach measure.

For each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a set $A_\epsilon \in F$ such that $\mu_\delta(A_\epsilon, \beta) < \epsilon$ for some $\beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$ and $Q_n \rightarrow^u Q$ on A_ϵ^c .

So that there exist $\check{K} > 0$ such that $|Q_n(x) - Q(x)| < \rho$ for each $x \in A_\epsilon^c$, $n > \check{K}$, $\rho > 0$.

$$\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \rho\} \subseteq A_\epsilon$$

$$\mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \rho\}, \beta) \leq \mu_\delta(A_\epsilon, \beta) < \epsilon \text{ for some } \beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$$

$$\lim_n \mu_\delta(\{x \in \mathfrak{X}, |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| > \rho\}, \beta) = 0 \text{ for some } \beta \in 2^\mathfrak{X}$$

Therefor $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ in AM ■.

Theorem 4.8

Let $(\mathfrak{X}, F, \mu_\delta)$ be AMS and $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ be real valued approach measurable functions on $\mathfrak{X}$, if the sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost uniformly convergent to Q in approach measure then $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ almost everywhere with respect to approach measure.

Proof

Suppose $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is almost uniformly convergent to Q in approach measure.

For each positive integer number n .

Let $A_n \in F$

Put A_n with $\mu_\delta(A_n, \beta) < \frac{1}{n}$ for some $\beta \in 2^\mathbb{N}$ and $Q_n \rightarrow^u Q$ on A_n^c

Let $\check{G} = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_k^c$

Since $Q_n \rightarrow^u Q$ on A_n^c then $Q_n \rightarrow^u Q$ on $\check{G}$.

$$\mu_\delta(\check{G}^c, \beta) = \mu_\delta(\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty A_k, \beta) \text{ for some } \beta \in 2^\mathbb{N}$$

$$\mu_\delta(\check{G}^c, \beta) \leq \mu_\delta(A_n, \beta) < \frac{1}{n} \text{ for some } \beta \in 2^\mathbb{N}$$

$$\mu_\delta(\check{G}^c, \beta) = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \beta \in 2^\mathbb{N}$$

Therefor $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ almost everywhere with respect to approach measure ■.

5. Conclusions

This research is to create a new structure for the concept of measurement that differs from classical measurement and new concepts which convergence of sequence almost everywhere with respect to approach measure, convergence in approach measure, convergence almost uniformly with respect to approach measure, classical uniform convergence. the results were:

- Every sequence which uniform convergence is convergence in AM.
- Sequence of real valued approach measurable functions which is almost bounded with respect to approach measure and convergence to approach measurable function, this function is also almost bounded with respect to approach measure.
- Every sequence which convergence almost everywhere with respect to approach measure and approach measure is finite, this sequence is convergence in AM.
- Every sequence which convergence in AM has a sub sequence that convergence almost everywhere with respect to approach measure.
- Every sequence which almost uniformly convergence in approach measure is convergence in AM.
- Every sequence which almost uniformly convergence in approach measure is convergence almost everywhere with respect to approach measure.

Reference

- [1] S. J. Taylor, *Introduction to measure and integration* Cambridge University Press 1966, 1973.
- [2] R. Lowen, "Approach spaces A common Supercategory of TOP and Met," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 141, no. 1, pp. 183-226, 1989.
- [3] R. C. Dalang, A. Morton, and W. Willinger, "Equivalent martingale measures and no-arbitrage in stochastic securities market models," *Stochastics and Stochastic Reports*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 185-201, 1990.
- [4] R. Baekeland and R. Lowen, "Measures of Lindelof and separability in approach spaces," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 597-606, 1994.
- [5] R. Lowen, *Approach Spaces: The Missing Link in the Topology—Uniformity—Metric Triad*. Oxford University Press, 1997.
- [6] B. Y. Hussein, "On Equivalent martingale measure," PhD Thesis, Mustnsiriyah University, 2007.
- [7] B. Y. Hussein, A. AL-Ta, and N. A. AL-Mayahi, "On equivalent martingale measures on L_p -space," *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 6-13, 2010.
- [8] B. Y. Hussein, "On equivalent (super/sub) martingale measure," *Journal of Babylon University*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 844-850, 2011.
- [9] B. Y. Hussein, "Equivalent locally Martingale Measure For the deflator process on ordered Banach algebra," *Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 2020, p. 5785098, 2020.
- [10] B. Y. Hussein and R. K. Abbas, "New results of completion normed approach space," *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2845, no. 1, pp. 050036 2023.

- [11] R. K. Abbas and B. Y. Hussein, "A new kind of topological vector space: Topological approach vector space," *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2386, no. 1, pp. 060008 2022.
- [12] S. Saeed and B. Y. Hussein, "New Results of Normed Approach Space Via β -Approach Structure," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 3538-3550, 2023.
- [13] B. Y. Hussein and H. A. Wshayeh, "On state space of measurable function in symmetric Δ -Banach algebra with new results," *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2398, no. 1, pp. 060064 2022.
- [14] M. A. Neamah and B. Y. Hussein, "Some new results of completion t^ω -Normed approach space," *Periodicals of Engineering and Natural Sciences (PEN)*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 82-89, 2022.
- [15] R. B. Ash and C. A. Doléans-Dade, *Probability and measure theory*. Academic press, 2000.
- [16] W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. McGraw-Hill Inc., 1976.